

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,382	09/19/2003	Jane Campbell Mazzagatti	TN188A	8502
7590 07/14/2005		EXAMINER		
Attn: Michael B. Atlass			AL HASHEMI, SANA A	
Unisys Corporation Unisys Way, MS/E8-114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Blue Bell, PA 19424-0001			2161	-
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/666,382	MAZZAGATTI, JANE CAMPBELL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sana Al-Hashemi	2161	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 h	<u>//ay 2005</u> .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>68-75</u> is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>36,46 and 57</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>37-45,47-56 and 58-67</u> is/are objected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	ed to.	· ·	
Application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application ty documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
•		•	
Attachment(s)	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P	ite atent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Application/Control Number: 10/666,382

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This action is issued in response to applicant amendment filed 5/5/05.

2. Claims 36-75 were amended. Claims 1-35 were canceled. No new claims were added.

3. Claims 36-67 are pending. Claims 68-75 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed 5/5/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Regarding claims 38,40,43,45,49,51,54,56,60,62,65, and 67, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim 50 is inherent the deficiency of claim 49, since claim 50 depends on claim 49.

Claims 38,40,43,45,49,50,51,54,56,60,62,65, and 67, would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 36, 46, and 57, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Imanaka (US Patent No. 6,025,932).

Regarding Claims 36,46, and 57, Imanaka discloses a method of evaluating a collection of data incorporated into an interlocking trees data store situated within active memory accessible to process running in a computer said interlocking trees data store comprising a structured collection of nodes connected by links of said nodes having pointers to other-nodes of said interlocking trees data store wherein said nodes contain a count field said nodes including at least nominally different kinds of nodes a first kind called-root nodes of which there are at least one primary root node and at least one elemental root node and which may include other root nodes, a second kind of node called an end of though node, at least one node of a third kind of node called a subcomponent node, and at least one node of a kind of node called an end product node, and wherein there exist at least two kinds of said links as Result and as Case links, wherein said as Result links point between a one of said root nodes and any other node and wherein said as Case links point between said at least one primary root node and at least one said end product node and include in a path between said end product node and said primary root node at least one said subcomponent node, said method comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2161

determining a context within said data store and its corresponding context value (Col. 6, lines 54-60, Imanaka);

determining a focus within said context and its corresponding focus value (Col. 7, lines 10-15, Imanaka);

calculating probability of the occurrence of said focus within said context employing the corresponding value of said context and said focus (Col. 11, lines 19-33, Imanaka); and

providing a probability value corresponding to said probability of the occurrence of said focus within said context to said process running in said computer (Col. 10, lines 56-67, Imanaka)

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 37, 39, 41, 42, 44, 47, 48, 52, 53, 55, 58, 59, 61, 63, 64, and 66, objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: regarding claims 37, 39, 41, 42, 44, 47, 48, 52, 53, 55, 58, 59, 61, 63, 64, and 66, the prior art fails to teach or suggest the steps of: nodes and links between said node each of said nodes having a plurality of data field, at least two of the plurality of data fields containing a pointer, one of the at least two pointers being a Case pointer and the other of the at least two pointers being a Result pointer and at least one node having at least one additional pointer to a list of pointers one of the additional

Art Unit: 2161

pointers to said list of pointers being to an asCase list of pointers in instance where said node has an associated as Case list and another being to asResult list of pointers in instance where the node has associated an associated as Result list, and wherein said nodes include types of nodes called root nodes of which there are at least one primary root node and at least one elemental root node and wherein said nodes may include another root nodes, said nodes further including types of nodes called end of thought nodes of which there is in said structure at least one end of thought node, types of nodes called subcomponent nodes of which there is in the structure at least one subcomponent node, and types of nodes called product nodes of which there is in said structure at least one end product node, and wherein the as Result links point between a root node and any other of the node types, and wherein as Case links point between said at least one primary root node and at least one end product node, including in a path there between at least one subcomponent node and wherein the elemental root nodes also have a field having one of the values, in conjunction with remaining claim provisions, is not taught or suggested, or rendered obvious over the prior art of record or that encountered in searching the invention.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: regarding independent claims 68, 73, and 74, the prior art fails to teach or suggest the steps of: nodes and links between said node each of said nodes having a plurality of data field, at least two of the plurality of data fields containing a pointer, one of the at least two pointers being a Case pointer and the other of the at least two pointers being a Result pointer and at least one node having at least one additional pointer to a list of pointers one of the additional pointers to said list of pointers being to an asCase list of pointers in instance where said node has an associated as Case

Application/Control Number: 10/666,382 Page 6

Art Unit: 2161

list and another being to asResult list of pointers in instance where the node has associated an associated as Result list, and wherein said nodes include types of nodes called root nodes of which there are at least one primary root node and at least one elemental root node and wherein said nodes may include another root nodes, said nodes further including types of nodes called end of thought nodes of which there is in said structure at least one end of thought node, types of nodes called subcomponent nodes of which there is in the structure at least one subcomponent node, and types of nodes called product nodes of which there is in said structure at least one end product node, and wherein the as Result links point between a root node and any other of the node types, and wherein as Case links point between said at least one primary root node and at least one end product node, including in a path there between at least one subcomponent node and wherein the elemental root nodes also have a field having one of the values, in conjunction with remaining claim provisions, is not taught or suggested, or rendered obvious over the prior art of record or that encountered in searching the invention.

The dependent claims 69-70, and 75 being further limiting to the independent claims, definite and enabled by the Specification are also allowed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Comments

Application/Control Number: 10/666,382

Art Unit: 2161.

The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. In no case may an applicant reply outside the SIX (6) MONTH statutory period or obtain an extension for more than FIVE (5) MONTHS beyond the date for reply set forth in an Office action. A fully responsive reply must be timely filed to avoid abandonment of this application.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, Applicant's response must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CRF 1.111(b) and MPEP section 707.07(a).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2161

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Other Prior Art Made of Record

- 1. Davis et al. (US Patent No. 5,918,229) discloses a Structured data storage using globally addressable memory.
- 2. Morgenstern (US Patent No. 5,970,490) discloses an integration platform for heterogeneous databases.
- 3. Agrawal et al. (US Patent No. 6,233,575) discloses multilevel taxonomy based on features derived from training documents classification using fisher values as discrimination values.
- 4. Kothuri et al. (US Patent No. 6,505,205) discloses a relational database system for storing nodes of a hierarchical index of multi-dimensional data in a first module and metadata regarding the index in a second module.
- 5. Marquis (US Patent No. 5,930,805) discloses a storage and retrieval of ordered sets of keys in a compact 0-complete tree.
- 6. Imanaka (US Patent No. 6,025,932) discloses digital information encoding apparatus digital information encoding/decoding apparatus, digital information encoding method and digital information decoding method.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,382

Art Unit: 2161

Points of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to: Sana Al-Hashemi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4013. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (571) 272-4023. Any response to this office action should be mailed to: The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231. Or telefax at phone number (703) 746-9890. For formal or draft communications, please label "PROSPOSED" or "DRAFT". Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, 6th Floor Receptionist, Arlington, Virginia. 22202.

Sana Al-Hashemi Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100 June 23, 2005

ALFORD KINDRED PRIMARY EXAMINER